



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/709,930	09/09/1996	PHILIP S. GREEN	000287-00482	7111		
20350	7590 07/09/2004	EXAMINER				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			GARLAND, S	GARLAND, STEVEN R		
	TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			2125	-0		
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	58		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

9

		A	- Na	Ammilio = 4/= \			
	-	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{A}		
Office Action Summary		08/709,93	0	GREEN, PHILIP S.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Steven R		2125			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence addre	ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the statuod will apply and will tute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30) <u>May</u> 2003 an	d 29 December 2003				
· · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 115,119-126 and 161-165 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) 115,123-126,161 and 162 is/are all Claim(s) 119-122 and 163 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 164,165 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cor lowed.	nsideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	• •			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	- • • •	-	• •		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have beer ents have beer riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age		
Attachmen	nt(s)						
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date 54,57.	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	52)		

Application/Control Number: 08/709,930 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

1. The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 115,119-126, and 161-165 are pending.

Proposed claims 152-160 <u>have not been entered</u>. These claims were proposed during interference, but were not entered during interference proceedings by the administrative patent judge.

Claims 1-114, 116-118, and 127-151 have been canceled.

2. The drawings are objected to because of the reasons set forth on the PTO-948 form attachment to Paper No. 8. Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 08/709,930

Art Unit: 2125

3. Claim 163 is rejected under the doctrine of res judicata.

Claim 163 corresponds exactly to former claim 139. Claim 139 was objected to as being dependent on rejected claim 138 at appeal and which rejection of claim 138 was affirmed in the decision of Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences of 12/22/00. After the decision however applicant did not place claim 139 in independent form prior to the time at which the proceedings were terminated. See 37 CFR 1.197. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered in regards to a rejection under res judicata, however applicant failed to prosecute the subject matter of claim 139 prior to the proceedings being terminated and applicant can not now present a new claim for the subject matter of previous claim 139.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 119-122 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilk 5,217,003 in view Heer et al. (as cited by applicant on the IDS statement filed 12/6/02 and which contains the article Endocorporeal Surgery Using Remote Manipulators by Rasor et al. on pages 483-492).

Wilk teaches al robotic surgical system with an arm, controller, and coupler. See the figures; col. 1, line 51 to col. 2, line 16; col. 2, line 33 to col. 3, line 64; and the claims.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/709,930 Page 4

Art Unit: 2125

Wilk however does not specifically state that proportional movement is accomplished, that an articulable endoscopic instrument is used or that a cauterizer is used.

Heer et al. teaches a surgical robotic system with an arm, coupler, articulable endoscopic surgical instrument, controller, handle, proportional movement, and cauterizer. See pages 488-492 and figures 10 and 11.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wilk in view of the teaching of Heer and use proportional movement for ease in control; use an articulable endoscopic instrument for more precise control and use a cauterizer to stop bleeding. This combination of features would allow greater ease in use and also reduce injury to the patient.

In response to applicant's arguments, Heer teaches that the slave arms and arms should faithfully follow the master movements. See pages 490- 491 and note page 490, lines 9-13 and lines 29-50. Further Wilk teaches generating motion outside the body and Heer teaches generating motion inside the body such as by use of an articulable instrument and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Wilk in view of Heer so as to provide greater flexibility in positioning and prevent injury to the patient.

- 6. Claims 115, 123-126, and 161 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 164 and 165 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 08/709,930

Art Unit: 2125

- 8. In regards to the information disclosure statement filed 12/29/03. The information disclosure statement listing various papers from various court cases have been considered, but the documents listed on it have been crossed off so that they will not listed on the face of any patent issuing from the instant application. Also the documents listed on this form are not published documents and some appear to be directed to litigation. If applicant really desires that these documents, including the ones related to litigation, be listed on the face of any patent issuing from the instant application then it is requested that applicant specifically request that they be published in response to this office action. Further it is uncertain as how sheets listing documents were intended to be submitted. The forms state (left hand top) that there are 5 sheets, but only sheets 3 of 5, 4 of 5, and 5 of 5 were submitted. The sheet numbering has been corrected by the examiner to sheets 1-3 of 3 sheets respectively to avoid confusion as to the number of submitted sheets. If 5 sheets were intended to be submitted then sheets 1 of 5 and 2 of 5 were not submitted.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 08/709,930

Art Unit: 2125

Page 6

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R Garland whose telephone number is 703-305-9759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5mc

Steven R Garland Examiner

Art Unit 2125

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L. P. P. F